hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 2833 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak &
Gr. Kamgar Union ... Petitioners

Versus

Security Guards Board for Greater
Bombay and Thane Dist. & Ors. ... Respondents

Mrs. Vaishali Bhilare for Petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Topkar for R. No. 1.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: DECEMBER 20, 2006

P.C.:

. No appearance put on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 though according to Petitioner Respondent have been served.

. After considering the averments in the petition, the security guards whose names are listed in Exh. A and who have been applied for registration, respondent No. 1 to consider their application according to law and dispose of the same at any rate not latter than eight weeks from today.

. In respect of the grievance against Respondent No. 2, if they are engaging security guards without being registered and or exemption, the Respondent No. 1 to take steps according to law.

. With the above observations, Petition stands disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**